Cr.MMO No.79 of 2006.

10.5.2007. Present: Ms. Bhavna Dutta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. B.N. Mehta, Advocate, vice counsel for the respondents.

The two Courts have concurrently found about the illicit relationship between the petitioner and respondent No.1. They have also concurrently found that respondent No.2 was born out of the illicit relationship between these two persons. It was on these basis that maintenance was granted in favour of respondent No.2 by the learned trial Magistrate which even though in principle has been maintained by the learned revisional Court, the quantum of maintenance has been varied. No interference is called for. The petition is dismissed in limine.

Cr.MP No.346 of 2006.

In view of the dismissal of the main petition, this application shall also stand dismissed.

10th May, 2007. (V.K. Gupta), C.J.
(tr)